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## Outline

- Camera calibration

[Slides credit: Marc Pollefeys]


## Resectioning

$$
\mathrm{X}_{i} \leftrightarrow \mathrm{x}_{i} \quad \mathrm{P} ?
$$



## Basic Equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{x}_{i}=\mathbf{P X}_{i} \\
& {\left[\mathbf{x}_{i}\right] \times \mathbf{P} \mathbf{X}_{i}} \\
& {\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathbf{0}^{\top} & -w_{i} \mathbf{X}_{i}^{\top} & y_{i} \mathbf{X}_{i}^{\top} \\
w_{i} \mathbf{X}_{i}^{\top} & \mathbf{0}^{\top} & -x_{i} \mathbf{X}_{i}^{\top} \\
-y_{i} \mathbf{X}_{i}^{\top} & x_{i} \mathbf{X}_{i}^{\top} & \mathbf{0}^{\top}
\end{array}\right]\left(\begin{array}{l}
\mathbf{P}^{1} \\
\mathbf{P}^{2} \\
\mathbf{P}^{3}
\end{array}\right)=\mathbf{0}} \\
& {\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathbf{0}^{\top} & -w_{i} \mathbf{X}_{i}^{\top} & y_{i} \mathbf{X}_{i}^{\top} \\
w_{i} \mathbf{X}_{i}^{\top} & \mathbf{0}^{\top} & -x_{i} \mathbf{X}_{i}^{\top}
\end{array}\right]\left(\begin{array}{l}
\mathbf{P}^{1} \\
\mathbf{P}^{2} \\
\mathbf{P}^{3}
\end{array}\right)=\mathbf{0}} \\
& \mathrm{Ap}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

## Basic Equations

$\mathrm{Ap}=0$
minimal solution

P has 11 dof, 2 independent eq./points
$\Rightarrow 51 / 2$ correspondences needed (say 6)

Over-determined solution

$$
\begin{aligned}
& n \geq 6 \text { points } \\
& \text { minimize } \quad\|\mathrm{Ap}\| \text { subject to constraint } \\
& \quad\|\mathrm{p}\|=1 \\
& \text { or }\left\|\hat{\mathrm{p}}^{3}\right\|=1 \quad \mathrm{P}=\square \\
& \hat{\mathrm{p}}^{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Degenerate Configurations

More complicate than 2D case
(i) Camera and points on a twisted cubic

(ii) Points lie on plane or single line passing through projection center


## Data Normalization

## Less obvious

(i) Simple, as before

(ii) Anisotropic scaling

## Line Correspondences

Extend DLT to lines

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\Pi=\mathrm{P}^{\mathrm{T}} 1_{i} & \text { (back-project line) } \\
1_{i}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{PX}_{1 i} & 1_{i}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{PX}_{2 i} \quad(2 \text { independent eq.) }
\end{array}
$$



## Geometric Error



$$
\sum_{i} d\left(\mathbf{x}_{i}, \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{i}\right)^{2}
$$

$$
\min _{\mathrm{P}} \sum_{i} d\left(\mathbf{x}_{i}, \mathrm{P} \mathbf{X}_{i}\right)^{2}
$$

## Gold Standard Algorithm

## Objective

Given $n \geq 62 D$ to 2D point correspondences $\left\{X_{i} \leftrightarrow x_{i}{ }^{\prime}\right\}$, determine the Maximum Likelyhood Estimation of $P$
Algorithm
(i) Linear solution:
(a) Normalization: $\tilde{\mathrm{X}}_{i}=\mathrm{UX}_{i} \quad \tilde{\mathrm{x}}_{i}=\mathrm{Tx}_{i}$
(b) DLT:
(ii) Minimization of geometric error: using the linear estimate as a starting point minimize the geometric error:

$$
\min _{\mathrm{P}} \sum_{i} d\left(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{i}, \tilde{\mathrm{P}} \tilde{\mathbf{X}}_{i}\right)^{2}
$$

(iii) Denormalization: $\mathrm{P}=\mathrm{T}^{-1} \mathrm{P} \mathrm{U}$

## Calibration Example

(i) Canny edge detection
(ii) Straight line fitting to the detected edges
(iii) Intersecting the lines to obtain the images corners
typically precision <1/10
( HZ rule of thumb: $5 n$ constraints for $n$ unknowns


|  | $f_{y}$ | $f_{x} / f_{y}$ | skew | $x_{0}$ | $y_{0}$ | residual |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| linear | 1673.3 | 1.0063 | 1.39 | 379.96 | 305.78 | 0.365 |
| iterative | 1675.5 | 1.0063 | 1.43 | 379.79 | 305.25 | 0.364 |

## Errors in the World

$$
\sum_{i} d\left(\mathbf{X}_{i}, \widehat{\mathbf{X}}_{i}\right)^{2} \quad \mathbf{x}_{i}=\mathrm{P} \hat{\mathrm{X}}_{i}
$$

Errors in the image and in the world

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{\operatorname{Mah}}\left(\mathbf{x}_{i}, \mathrm{P} \widehat{\mathbf{X}}_{i}\right)^{2}+d_{\operatorname{Mah}}\left(\mathbf{X}_{i}, \widehat{\mathbf{X}}_{i}\right)^{2}
$$

## Geometric Interpretation of Algebraic error

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i}\left(\hat{w}_{i} d\left(x_{i}, \hat{x}_{i}\right)\right)^{2} \\
& \hat{w}_{i}\left(\hat{x}_{i}, \hat{y}_{i}, 1\right)=\mathrm{PX}_{i} \quad \hat{w}_{i}= \pm \| \hat{p}^{3} \mid \operatorname{depth}(\mathrm{X} ; \mathrm{P})
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\text { therefore, if }\left\|\hat{p}^{3}\right\|=1 \text { then }
$$

$$
\hat{w}_{i} d\left(\mathrm{x}_{i}, \hat{\mathrm{x}}_{i}\right) \sim f d\left(\mathrm{X}_{i}, \hat{\mathrm{X}}_{i}\right)
$$



## Estimation of Affine Camera

$$
\text { Last row }=(0,0,0,1)
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
{\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathbf{0}^{\top} & -w_{i} \mathbf{X}_{i}^{\top} & y_{i} \mathbf{X}_{i}^{\top} \\
w_{i} \mathbf{X}_{i}^{\top} & \mathbf{0}^{\top} & -x_{i} \mathbf{X}_{i}^{\top}
\end{array}\right]\left(\begin{array}{c}
\mathbf{P}^{1} \\
\mathbf{P}^{2} \\
\mathbf{P}^{3}
\end{array}\right)=\mathbf{0}} \\
{\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\mathbf{0}^{\top} & -\mathbf{X}_{i}^{\top} \\
\mathbf{X}_{i}^{\top} & \mathbf{0}^{\top}
\end{array}\right]\binom{\mathbf{P}^{1}}{\mathbf{P}^{2}}+\binom{y_{i}}{-x_{i}}=\mathbf{0}} \\
\|\mathbf{A} \mathbf{p}\|^{2}=\sum_{i}\left(x_{i}-\mathbf{P}^{1 \top} \mathbf{X}_{i}\right)^{2}+\left(y_{i}-\mathbf{P}^{2 \top} \mathbf{X}_{i}\right)^{2}=\sum_{i} d\left(\mathbf{x}_{i}, \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{i}\right)^{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

note that in this case algebraic error = geometric error

## Gold Standard Algorithm

## Objective

Given $n \geq 42 D$ to 2D point correspondences $\left\{X_{i} \leftrightarrow x_{i}{ }^{\prime}\right\}$, determine the Maximum Likelyhood Estimation of $P$ (remember $\mathrm{P}^{3 \mathrm{~T}}=(0,0,0,1)$ )
Algorithm
(i) Normalization: $\quad \tilde{\mathrm{X}}_{i}=\mathrm{UX}_{i} \quad \tilde{\mathrm{x}}_{i}=\mathrm{Tx}_{i}$
(ii) For each correspondence

$$
\begin{gathered}
{\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\mathbf{0}^{\top} & -\mathbf{X}_{i}^{\top} \\
\mathbf{X}_{i}^{\top} & \mathbf{0}^{\top}
\end{array}\right]\binom{\mathbf{P}^{1}}{\mathbf{P}^{2}}+\binom{y_{i}}{-x_{i}}=\mathbf{0}} \\
\mathbf{A}_{8} \mathbf{p}_{8}=\mathbf{b}
\end{gathered}
$$

(iii) solution is

$$
\mathrm{p}_{8}=\mathrm{A}_{8}^{+} \mathrm{b}
$$

(iv) Denormalization: $\mathrm{P}=\mathrm{T}^{-1} \mathrm{P} \mathrm{U}$

## Restricted Camera Estimation

Find best fit that satisfies

- skew s is zero
- pixels are square
- principal point is known
- complete camera matrix K is known

$$
\mathrm{K}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\alpha_{x} & s & x_{0} \\
& \alpha_{y} & y_{0} \\
& & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

Minimize geometric error
$\rightarrow$ impose constraint through parametrization
$\rightarrow$ Image only $\mathbb{Q}^{9} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}^{2 n}$, otherwise $\mathbb{Q}^{3 n+9} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}^{5 n}$
Minimize algebraic error
$\rightarrow$ assume map from param $q \rightarrow P=K[R \mid-R C]$, i.e. $p=g(q)$
$\rightarrow$ minimize ||Ag(q)||

## Reduced Measurement Matrix

One only has to work with $12 \times 12$ matrix, not $2 n \times 12$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \|A p\|=p^{T} A^{T} A p=\|A \hat{A}\| \\
& A^{\top} A=\left(V D U^{\top}\right)\left(U D V^{\top}\right)=(V D)\left(D V^{\top}\right)=\hat{A}^{\top} \hat{A}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Restricted Camera Estimation

Initialization

$$
\mathrm{K}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\alpha_{x} & s & x_{0} \\
& \alpha_{y} & y_{0} \\
& & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

- Use general DLT
- Clamp values to desired values, e.g. $s=0, \alpha_{x}=\alpha_{y}$

Note: can sometimes cause big jump in error
Alternative initialization

- Use general DLT
- Impose soft constraints

$$
\sum_{i} d\left(\mathbf{x}_{i}, \mathrm{PX}_{i}\right)^{2}+w s^{2}+w\left(\alpha_{x}-\alpha_{y}\right)^{2}
$$

- gradually increase weights


## Exterior Orientation

Calibrated camera, position and orientation unkown
$\rightarrow$ Pose estimation
6 dof $\Rightarrow 3$ points minimal (4 solutions in general)


|  | $f_{y}$ | $f_{x} / f_{y}$ | skew | $x_{0}$ | $y_{0}$ | residual |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| algebraic | 1633.4 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 371.21 | 293.63 | 0.601 |
| geometric | 1637.2 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 371.32 | 293.69 | 0.601 |


|  | $f_{y}$ | $f_{x} / f_{y}$ | skew | $x_{0}$ | $y_{0}$ | residual |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| linear | 1673.3 | 1.0063 | 1.39 | 379.96 | 305.78 | 0.365 |
| iterative | 1675.5 | 1.0063 | 1.43 | 379.79 | 305.25 | 0.364 |

## Covariance Estimation

ML residual error

$$
\epsilon_{\mathrm{res}}=\sigma(1-d / 2 n)^{1 / 2}
$$

$$
\epsilon_{\text {res }} \longleftrightarrow \sigma
$$

Example: $\mathrm{n}=197, \epsilon_{\text {res }}=0.365, \sigma=0.37$


## Radial Distortion


short and long focal length
radial distortion



radial distortion


$$
(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}, 1)^{\top}=[\mathrm{I} \mid \mathbf{0}] \mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{cam}}
$$

$$
\binom{x_{d}}{y_{d}}=L(\tilde{r})\binom{\tilde{x}}{\tilde{y}}
$$

$\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}$ : non-distorted projection $x_{d}, y_{d}:$ distorted projection

## Correction of Distortion

$$
\hat{x}=x_{c}+L(r)\left(x-x_{c}\right) \quad \hat{y}=y_{c}+L(r)\left(y-y_{c}\right)
$$

Choice of the distortion function and center

$$
L(r)=1+\kappa_{1} r+\kappa_{2} r^{2}+\kappa_{3} r^{3}+\ldots
$$

$$
\left\{\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{2}, \kappa_{3}, \ldots, x_{c}, y_{c}\right\}: \text { interior parameters }
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
x=x_{o}+\left(x_{o}-c_{x}\right)\left(K_{1} r^{2}+K_{2} r^{4}+\ldots\right) \\
y=y_{o}+\left(y_{o}-c_{y}\right)\left(K_{1} r^{2}+K_{2} r^{4}+\ldots\right) \\
r=\left(x_{o}-c_{x}\right)^{2}+\left(y_{o}-c_{y}\right)^{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

Computing the parameters of the distortion function
(i) Minimize with additional unknowns
(ii) Straighten lines
(iii) ...

## Correction of Distortion



|  | $f_{y}$ | $f_{x} / f_{y}$ | skew | $x_{0}$ | $y_{0}$ | residual |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| linear | 1580.5 | 1.0044 | 0.75 | 377.53 | 299.12 | 0.179 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| iterative | 1580.7 | 1.0044 | 0.70 | 377.42 | 299.02 | 0.179 |
| After radial |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| algebraic | 1556.0 | 1.0000 | 0.00 | 372.42 | 291.86 | 0.381 | correction

## Another Method of Calibration

- Notation

$$
s \widetilde{\mathbf{m}}=\mathbf{A}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\mathbf{R} & \mathbf{t}
\end{array}\right] \widetilde{\mathrm{M}} \quad \text { with } \mathbf{A}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\alpha & c & u_{0} \\
0 & \beta & v_{0} \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

- Homography between the model plane and its image

$$
s\left[\begin{array}{l}
u \\
v \\
1
\end{array}\right]=\mathbf{A}\left[\begin{array}{llll}
\mathbf{r}_{1} & \mathbf{r}_{2} & \mathbf{r}_{3} & \mathbf{t}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
X \\
Y \\
0 \\
1
\end{array}\right]=\mathbf{A}\left[\begin{array}{lll}
\mathbf{r}_{1} & \mathbf{r}_{2} & \mathbf{t}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
X \\
Y \\
1
\end{array}\right]
$$

$$
s \widetilde{\mathbf{m}}=\mathbf{H} \widetilde{\mathbf{M}} \quad \text { with } \quad \mathbf{H}=\mathbf{A}\left[\begin{array}{lll}
\mathbf{r}_{1} & \mathbf{r}_{2} & \mathbf{t}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Ref: Zhengyou Zhang, "Flexible camera calibration by viewing a plane from unknown orientations," ICCV1999.

## Another Method of Calibration

- Constraints on the intrinsic parameters

$$
\left[\begin{array}{lll}
\mathbf{h}_{1} & \mathbf{h}_{2} & \mathbf{h}_{3}
\end{array}\right]=\lambda \mathbf{A}\left[\begin{array}{lll}
\mathbf{r}_{1} & \mathbf{r}_{2} & \mathbf{t}
\end{array}\right]
$$

$\mathbf{r}_{1}$ and $\mathbf{r}_{2}$ are orthonormal $\boldsymbol{\rightarrow}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{h}_{1}^{T} \mathbf{A}^{-T} \mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{h}_{2} & =0 \\
\mathbf{h}_{1}^{T} \mathbf{A}^{-T} \mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{h}_{1} & =\mathbf{h}_{2}^{T} \mathbf{A}^{-T} \mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{h}_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Another Method of Calibration

- Close-form solution
- Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{B} & =\mathbf{A}^{-T} \mathbf{A}^{-1} \equiv\left[\begin{array}{lll}
B_{11} & B_{12} & B_{13} \\
B_{12} & B_{22} & B_{23} \\
B_{13} & B_{23} & B_{33}
\end{array}\right] \\
& =\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\frac{1}{\alpha^{2}} & -\frac{c}{\alpha^{2} \beta} & \frac{c v_{0}-u_{0} \beta}{\alpha^{2} \beta} \\
-\frac{c}{\alpha^{2} \beta} & \frac{c^{2}}{\alpha^{2} \beta^{2}}+\frac{1}{\beta^{2}} & -\frac{c\left(c v_{0}-u_{0} \beta\right)}{\alpha^{2} \beta^{2}}-\frac{v_{0}}{\beta^{2}} \\
\frac{c\left(v_{0}-u_{0} \beta\right.}{\alpha^{2} \beta} & -\frac{c\left(c v_{0}-u_{0} \beta\right)}{\alpha^{2} \beta^{2}}-\frac{v_{0}}{\beta^{2}} & \frac{\left(c v_{0}-u_{0} \beta\right)^{2}}{\alpha^{2} \beta^{2}}+\frac{v_{0}}{\beta^{2}}+1
\end{array}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

$\mathbf{h}^{T} \mathbf{v}^{T} \mathbf{b}=\left[B_{11}, B_{12}, B_{22}, B_{13}, B_{23}, B_{33}\right]^{T}$ the $i^{\text {th }}$ column vector of $\mathbf{H}$ be $\mathbf{h}_{i}=\left[h_{i 1}, h_{i 2}, h_{i 3}\right]^{T}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{v}_{i j}=\left[h_{i 1} h_{j 1}, h_{i 1} h_{j 2}+h_{i 2} h_{j 1}, h_{i 2} h_{j 2},\right. \\
& \left.\quad h_{i 3} h_{j 1}+h_{i 1} h_{j 3}, h_{i 3} h_{j 2}+h_{i 2} h_{j 3}, h_{i 3} h_{j 3}\right]^{T}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Another Method of Calibration

- Close-form solution
- From the two constraints on the intrinsic parameters

$$
\begin{gathered}
{\left[\begin{array}{c}
\mathbf{v}_{12}^{T} \\
\left(\mathbf{v}_{11}-\mathbf{v}_{22}\right)^{T}
\end{array}\right] \mathbf{b}=\mathbf{0}} \\
\mathbf{V b}=\mathbf{0}
\end{gathered}
$$

- $\mathbf{V}$ is a $2 n \times 6$ matrix, if $n \geq 3$, we will have in general a unique solution $\mathbf{b}$ defined up to a scale factor. Once $\mathbf{b}$ is estimated, we can compute the camera intrinsic matrix A.


## Calibration Procedure

http://www.vision.caltech.edu/bouguetj/calib_doc/index.html\#examples

Click on the four extreme corners of the rectangular pattern (first corner = origin)... Image 1
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## Calibration Procedure

http://www.vision.caltech.edu/bouguetj/calib_doc/index.html\#examples

- If the location of the corners are not correct $\rightarrow$ adjust radial distortion manually
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